

U.S. Department of Justice

Immigration and Naturalization Service

revent clearly unwarranted avasion of personal privacy

OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEALS 425 Eye Street N.W. ULLB, 3rd Floor Washington, D.C. 20536

WAC-01-125-51074

Office: California Service Center

Date: FEB 1 0 2003

Petition:

IN RE: Petitioner:

Beneficiary:

Petition for a Nonimmigrant Worker Pursuant to Section 101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b) of the Immigration and

Nationality Act, 8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(15)(H)(i)(b)

PUBLIC COFY

IN BEHALF OF PETITIONER:



INSTRUCTIONS:

This is the decision in your case. All documents have been returned to the office that originally decided your case. Any further inquiry must be made to that office.

If you believe the law was inappropriately applied or the analysis used in reaching the decision was inconsistent with the information provided or with precedent decisions, you may file a motion to reconsider. Such a motion must state the reasons for reconsideration and be supported by any pertinent precedent decisions. Any motion to reconsider must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reconsider, as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.5(a)(1)(i).

If you have new or additional information that you wish to have considered, you may file a motion to reopen. Such a motion must state the new facts to be proved at the reopened proceeding and be supported by affidavits or other documentary evidence. Any motion to reopen must be filed within 30 days of the decision that the motion seeks to reopen, except that failure to file before this period expires may be excused in the discretion of the Service where it is demonstrated that the delay was reasonable and beyond the control of the applicant or petitioner. Id.

Any motion must be filed with the office that originally decided your case along with a fee of \$110 as required under 8 C.F.R. 103.7.

> FOR THE ASSOCIATE COMMISSIONER, **EXAMINATIONS**

Wiemann, Director dministrative Appeals Office **DISCUSSION:** The nonimmigrant visa petition was denied by the director and is now before the Associate Commissioner for Examinations on appeal. The appeal will be dismissed.

The petitioner is a Japanese grocery store chain with 420 employees and a gross annual income of \$100 million. It seeks to employ the beneficiary as a research analyst for a period of three years. The director determined the petitioner had not established that the proffered position is a specialty occupation or that the beneficiary is qualified to perform the duties of a specialty occupation.

On appeal, counsel submits a brief.

8 C.F.R. 214.2(h)(4)(ii) defines the term "specialty occupation" as:

an occupation which requires theoretical and practical application of a body of highly specialized knowledge in fields of human endeavor including, but not limited to, architecture, engineering, mathematics, sciences, social sciences, medicine and education, business specialties, accounting, theology, and the arts, and which requires the attainment of a bachelor's degree or higher in a specific specialty, or its equivalent, as a minimum for entry into the occupation in the United States.

The director denied the petition because the petitioner had not demonstrated that the proposed duties are those found in a specialty occupation, or that the beneficiary's bachelor of arts degree in geography qualifies her to perform the duties of the proffered position. On appeal, counsel states, in part, that the proffered position is the equivalent of an advertising, marketing, and public relations manager. Counsel further states that the Department of Labor (DOL) in its Occupational Outlook Handbook (Handbook) finds that almost any major is acceptable for such occupation.

Counsel correctly states on appeal that the proffered position is the equivalent of an advertising, marketing, and public relations manager. Although information on the petition indicates that the proffered position is that of a research analyst, the Service does not use a title, by itself, when determining whether a particular job qualifies as a specialty occupation. The specific duties of the offered position combined with the nature of the petitioning entity's business operations are factors that the Service considers. In the initial I-129 petition, the petitioner described the duties of the offered position as follows:

- ...[the beneficiary] will work as the Head of our Research and Administration departments in analyzing [the petitioner's] advertising and community relations campaigns. Further she will work with our legal department to provide our management and executive staff with reference materials to such things as tariffs, customs clearance regulations and procedures, personnel laws and regulations.
- ...[the beneficiary] will exercise sole authority with regard to the hiring, firing, training, discipline, promotion and remuneration of all employees within her department...

Pursuant to 8 C.F.R. 214.2(h)(4)(iii)(A), to qualify as a specialty occupation, the position must meet one of the following criteria:

- 1. A baccalaureate or higher degree or its equivalent is normally the minimum requirement for entry into the particular position;
- 2. The degree requirement is common to the industry in parallel positions among similar organizations or, in the alternative, an employer may show that its particular position is so complex or unique that it can be performed only by an individual with a degree;
- 3. The employer normally requires a degree or its equivalent for the position; or
- 4. The nature of the specific duties is so specialized and complex that knowledge required to perform the duties is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree.

The petitioner has not met any of the above requirements to classify the offered position as a specialty occupation.

First, as correctly stated by counsel, the proffered position appears to be primarily that of a public relations, marketing, and advertising managerial position. A review of the DOL's <u>Handbook</u>, 2002-2003 edition, at page 28, finds no requirement of a baccalaureate or higher degree <u>in a specific specialty</u> for employment in public relations, marketing, and advertising managerial jobs. A wide range of educational backgrounds are suitable, but many employers prefer those with experience in related occupations plus a broad liberal arts background. In addition, most public relations, marketing, and advertising management positions are filled by promoting experienced staff or related professional or technical personnel. Thus, the petitioner

has not shown that a bachelor's degree or its equivalent is required for the position being offered to the beneficiary.

Second, the petitioner has not shown that it has, in the past, required the services of individuals with baccalaureate or higher degrees in a specific specialty, for the offered position. Third, the petitioner did not present any documentary evidence that a baccalaureate degree in a specific specialty or its equivalent is common to the industry in parallel positions among organizations similar to the petitioner. Finally, the petitioner did not demonstrate that the nature of the beneficiary's proposed duties is so specialized and complex that the knowledge required to perform the duties is usually associated with the attainment of a baccalaureate or higher degree.

The petitioner has failed to establish that any of the four factors enumerated above are present in this proceeding. Accordingly, it is concluded that the petitioner has not demonstrated that the offered position is a specialty occupation within the meaning of the regulations.

As the petitioner has not sufficiently established that the proffered position is a specialty occupation, the beneficiary's qualifications need not be examined further in this proceeding.

The burden of proof in these proceedings rests solely with the petitioner. Section 291 of the Act, 8 U.S.C. 1361. The petitioner has not sustained that burden.

ORDER: The appeal is dismissed.